

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/758,025	SHARFMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas Duong	2145

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Duong.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Greg Pulier.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JASON CARDONE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong attempted to contact Mr.Pulier, who is the point of contact for the application, but the telephone number is disconnected. Ex.Duong also contacted with Mr.Biernacki, who used to be the attorney of record at Jones Day, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Mr.Biernacki indicated that there has been no communication with the client for a very long time..